

Chemical constituents and antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extracts obtained from the flower, leaf and stem of *Salvia officinalis* L.

DRAGAN T. VELIČKOVIĆ^{*1}, NOVICA V. RANDJELOVIĆ², MIHAILO S. RISTIĆ³, ANA S. VELIČKOVIĆ⁴ and ANDRIJA A. ŠMELCEROVIĆ⁵

^{*1}AD "Zdravlje" Pharmaceutical and Chemical Industry, Vljakova St. 199, YU-16000 Leskovac, ²Faculty of Technology, Bulevar Oslobođenja St. 124, YU-16000 Leskovac, ³Institute for Medicinal Plants Research "Dr. Josif Pančić", Tadeuša Košćuška St. 1, YU-11000 Belgrade, ⁴Medical Center "Moša Pijade", Department of General Practice, Rade Končara St. 2, YU-16000 Leskovac and ⁵Chemical Industry "Nevena", Djordja Stamenkovića St. bb., YU-16000 Leskovac, Yugoslavia

(Received 19 August 2002)

Abstract: In this paper a comparison of the chemical composition and antimicrobial action of the ethanol extracts from the flower, leaf and stem of the herbal species *Salvia officinalis* L. (Lamiaceae), originating from the southeast region of Serbia was carried out. The chemical composition of the extracts was determined by GC-FID and GC-MS analyses. Manool has the highest level of all the components (9.0–11.1 %). Antimicrobial activity was determined by the diffusion and dilution method, whereby the latter one was modified by use of cellulose discs, and it was applied for the determination of the minimal inhibitory (MIC) and minimal lethal concentrations (MLC). The leaf extract has a stronger antimicrobial activity than those of the flower and stem.

Keywords: *Salvia officinalis* L., Lamiaceae, extracts composition, manool, antimicrobial activity.

INTRODUCTION

Salvia is a large and polymorphous genus of the family Lamiaceae, comprising about 900 species with almost cosmopolitan dissemination.¹ The Flora of Serbia comprises 14 species of this genus.² A special position among them has the herbal species *S. officinalis* L. The content of some components varies depending on the locality, extraction procedures and extracting agents. The prevailing components in the extract obtained by ultrasound extraction³ were alpha-thujone (48.4 %) and camphor (14.2 %), in the methylene chloride extract⁴ were alpha-thujone (15.7–59.3 %), 1,8-cineole (10.9–43.1 %) and beta-thujone (4.9–25.8 %), whereas 1,8-cineole was the dominant component in the SF extract⁵ (54.4 %).

Some components of the extracts and the essential oils of *S. officinalis* have antimicrobial activity. Linalyl acetate and terpineol have the greatest power of bacterial inhibi-

tion.⁶ Antifungal action of alpha-bisabolol, farnesol, anethole, carvacrol has been proved.⁷ Salvin from acetone extract of the dried flowers is effective against *Staphylococcus aureus*.⁸

In this work a comparison of the chemical composition and antimicrobial action of the extracts from the flower, leaf and stem of *Salvia officinalis* L. was carried out.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material. The sage *Salvia officinalis* L (Lamiaceae), originating from Sićevačka Klisura gorge (Gradište village, the southeast part of Serbia), was identified by Prof. Dr. Novica Randjelović (Faculty of Technology, University of Niš). A voucher specimen has been deposited in the General Herbarium of the Balkan Peninsula (BEO), the Natural History Museum in Belgrade, Yugoslavia (BEO 32147). The plants were collected during the flowering phase at the end of May 1998.

Extraction. Extracts from the dried, ground plant material (flower, leaf, stem) were obtained by maceration (hydromodule 1:5) with ethanol (96 % vol).⁹

Identification procedure. The extracts were analyzed by analytical GC-FID and GC-MS and most of the constituents were identified by comparison of their mass spectra with those from the Wiley MS library. The obtained results were correlated with retention indices.^{10,11}

GC-FID: A Hewlett Packard 5890 II Gas Chromatograph, equipped with a 25 m × 0.32 mm fused silica capillary column, with a 0.53 µm film thickness of HP-5, and FID was used. The operating conditions were: column temperature program 40 ° – 280 °C at 4 °C/min with an injector temperature of 250 °C and a detector temperature of 280 °C; carrier gas: H₂ (1 mL/min).

GC-MS: The analyses were performed on a Hewlett Packard, model G 1800 C, equipped with a fused silica 30 m × 0.25 mm, HP-5 capillary column, with a film thickness 0.25 µm; the carrier gas was H₂ (1 mL/min) with the same temperature program as for the analytical GC. Electrons at 70 eV performed the ionization. 1 µL of the extract is injected (splitless mode).

Antimicrobial activity. The antimicrobial action of the extracts was investigated by the diffusion and dilution methods. The dilution method was modified by use of cellulose discs to avoid addition of emulsifiers for better dissolution of the samples, and it was applied for the determination of minimal inhibitory (MIC) and minimal lethal concentration (MLC). Cultures of the following microorganisms were used: *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Salmonella enteritidis* ATCC 13076, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9027, *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538, *Sarcina lutea* ATCC 9341, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ATCC 9763, obtained from Oxoid, as well as *Aspergillus niger* from the collection of micro-organisms of the Biological laboratory of “Zdravlje” Pharmaceutical and Chemical industry, Leskovac.

The following nutritive media were used for the diffusion method: Antibiotica-Agar No. 1 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for bacteria, Trypton soya agar - TSA (Torlak Institute, Belgrade, Yugoslavia) for *C. albicans* and *A. niger*, Sabouraud dextrose agar - SDA (Torlak) for *S. cerevisiae* and Medium for total bacterial count (Torlak), for the determination of the total number of micro-organisms.

The following nutritive media were used for the dilution method: Medium 3 (Difco Laboratories Detroit Michigan USA) for bacterial growth, Trypton soya broth – TSB (Torlak) for growth of *C. albicans* and *A. niger*, Sabouraud liquid medium - SDB (Torlak) for growth of *S. cerevisiae*.

Medium for the total bacterial count (Torlak) for the determination of the total number of micro-organisms, selective media for the identification of micro-organisms: Endo agar (Torlak) for *E. coli*, SS agar (Torlak) for *S. enteritidis*, Cetrimid agar for *P. aeruginosa*, Brilliant Green agar (Torlak) for *B. subtilis*, Chapman medium (Torlak) for *S. aureus* and *S. lutea*, Trypton soya agar (Torlak) for *C. albicans* and *A. niger* and Sabouraud dextrose agar (Torlak) for *S. cerevisiae*. Diffusion method: 0.1 mL of micro-organism suspension, formed during 24 h culture on oblique agar with 10 mL 0.9 %NaCl, was introduced into 10 mL of the nutritive medium. A Petri dish was filled with this system. 5 µL of the pure extracts were applied by micropipette onto sterile cellulose discs, diameter 6 mm (Biolife Italiana SRL - Milano, Italy), and the disc

was placed into the center of an 86 mm internal diameter Petri dish. Following 2 h prediffusion at +4 °C, the incubation was carried out for 24 h at 37 °C for bacteria and 48 h at 26 °C for fungi. The initial number of micro-organisms in the suspension was determined after thermostating the medium for the total bacterial count during 24 h at 37 °C mixed with 1 mL of 10⁴ – fold diluted suspension.

Dilution method: From the suspension of micro-organism, formed with 10 mL 0.9 % NaCl and 24 h culture on oblique agar, the inoculum was made by introducing 0.1 mL of the suspension into 9.9 mL Medium 3 (for bacteria), Trypton soya broth (for *C. albicans* and *A. niger*) and Sabouraud liquid medium (for *S. cerevisiae*). Onto 12.7 mm diameter sterile cellulose discs (Schleicher & Shuell, Dassel, Germany), the following quantities were applied by micropipette: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 µL of the extracts for *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *S. lutea*, *C. albicans*, *A. niger*; 40, 50, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, 200 µL of the extracts for *E. coli*, *S. enteritidis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. cerevisiae*, which were submerged into sterile test tubes with 0.5 mL of the suitable medium, followed by the addition of 1 mL of the inoculum. The incubation was carried out at 37 °C for bacteria and at 26 °C for fungi. 1 mL of inoculum dilution 10⁻⁴ was overspread with the medium for the total bacterial count, which was used for the determination of the initial number of micro-organisms in the inoculum after thermostating for 24 h at 37 °C. The changes in the clarity of the inoculum in the test tubes were monitored during 3 days. Re-inoculation was carried out from individual test tubes onto the medium for the total bacterial count as well as for the introduction of inoculum by loopful onto selective media (determination of MIC and MLC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The obtained yields of the dry extracts were 3.5 % (flower), 3.1 % (leaf) and 1.2 % (stem). The results of GC-FID and GC-MS analyses of the ethanol extracts of *S. officinalis* from the flower, leaf and stem are presented in Table I. The number of identified components in the examined extracts are 60, 61 and 44, respectively. Manool was the major component (9.0–11.1 %). The examined extracts contain all the specific components defining the chromatograph profile¹² of the essential oil of *S. officinalis*: alpha-pinene, camphene, limonene, 1,8-cineole, linalool, *cis*- and *trans*-thujone, camphor, bornyl acetate and alpha-humulene. Comparing the contents of each part of the plant separately, the flower has the highest level of alpha-pinene and 1,8-cineole. Camphene, limonene, *cis*-thujone, *trans*-thujone, camphor, bornyl acetate and alpha-humulene are most present in the leaf extract. Linalool is most present in the stem extract. The other identified components present in higher percentage are: *n*-pentacosane (8.3 % in the stem extract), (*E*)-caryophyllene (5.3 % in the leaf extract and 4.7 % in the flower extract), *trans*-ferruginol (4.8 % in the flower extract and 1.1 % in the stem extract), *cis*-ferruginol (4.4 % in the flower extract) and viridiflorol (3.7 % in the leaf extract, 3.6 % in the flower extract and 2.7 % in the stem extract).

The similarity of the chemical composition between the sage from the Sićevačka Klisura gorge and from other localities can be discerned.^{3–5,13} Components like menthone, neomenthol, menthol, spathulenol and others are present in the extract of sage originating from all the localities except the Sićevačka Klisura gorge. Also, there is a similarity in the composition of the extracts and the essential oils from the same localities.^{14,15}

The results of the examined antimicrobial activities of the extract obtained from the flower, leaf and stem of *S. officinalis* by the diffusion method are shown in Table II. The leaf extract showed significantly higher antimicrobial activity compared to the other extracts. The antimicrobial activity of the extract against *E. coli*, *S. enteritidis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* is not discerned. The MIC and MLC values (µL of extract/mL

TABLE I. Percentage compositions of the flower, leaf and stem extracts of *Salvia officinalis* L.

| Constituents | KI | RIexp | Flower | Leaf | Stem |
|---|------|-------|--------|------|------|
| Furfural | 836 | 893 | | | 0.3 |
| Tricyclene ^m | 927 | 923 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| α -Pinene ^m | 939 | 938 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| Camphene ^m | 954 | 950 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Sabinene ^m | 975 | 974 | tr. | tr. | 0.3 |
| β -Pinene ^m | 979 | 976 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 3-Octanone | 984 | 980 | tr. | 0.6 | |
| Myrcene ^m | 991 | 988 | 0.5 | 0.1 | tr. |
| 3-Octanol | 991 | 995 | 0.1 | tr. | |
| α -Phellandrene ^m | 1003 | 989 | 0.1 | 0.2 | tr. |
| α -Terpinene ^m | 1017 | 1022 | tr. | tr. | tr. |
| <i>p</i> -Cymene ^m | 1025 | 1029 | tr. | tr. | tr. |
| Limonene ^m | 1029 | 1033 | tr. | 0.1 | tr. |
| 1,8-Cineole ^m | 1031 | 1036 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| (<i>Z</i>)- β -Ocimene ^m | 1037 | 1041 | 0.1 | 0.2 | tr. |
| (<i>E</i>)- β -Ocimene ^m | 1050 | 1050 | 0.1 | 0.1 | tr. |
| γ -Terpinene ^m | 1060 | 1060 | 0.1 | 0.1 | tr. |
| <i>trans</i> -Linalool oxide ^m | 1073 | 1071 | 0.1 | tr. | |
| Terpinolene ^m | 1089 | 1088 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Linalool ^m | 1097 | 1098 | 0.4 | tr. | 0.7 |
| <i>cis</i> -Thujone ^m | 1102 | 1105 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 2.1 |
| <i>trans</i> -Thujone ^m | 1114 | 1115 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Camphor ^m | 1146 | 1141 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Borneol ^m | 1169 | 1163 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Terpinen-4-ol ^m | 1177 | 1177 | 0.1 | 0.1 | tr. |
| 3-Decanone | 1188 | 1187 | | | 0.3 |
| α -Terpineol ^m | 1189 | 1189 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| <i>n</i> -Dodecane | 1200 | 1221 | 0.3 | 0.4 | |
| <i>n</i> -Decanol | 1272 | 1270 | | | 0.3 |
| Isobornyl acetate ^m | 1286 | 1280 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| Bornyl acetate ^m | 1289 | 1285 | tr. | 0.1 | |
| Carvacrol ^m | 1299 | 1303 | tr. | tr. | 0.3 |
| <i>n</i> -Tridecane | 1300 | 1304 | tr. | 1.1 | |
| α -Cubebene ^s | 1351 | 1348 | 0.1 | 0.2 | |
| Eugenol ^m | 1359 | 1356 | tr. | 0.2 | |

TABLE I. Continued

| Constituents | KI | RI _{exp} | Flower | Leaf | Stem |
|---|------|-------------------|--------|------|------|
| α -Ylangene ^s | 1375 | 1370 | tr. | tr. | |
| α -Copaene ^s | 1377 | 1371 | 0.3 | 0.6 | tr. |
| β -Bourbonene ^s | 1388 | 1379 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| β -Cubebene ^s | 1388 | 1386 | 0.1 | tr. | |
| (<i>E</i>)-Caryophyllene ^s | 1419 | 1414 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 1.9 |
| Aromadendrene ^s | 1441 | 1435 | tr. | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| α -Humulene ^s | 1455 | 1449 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 1.9 |
| <i>allo</i> -Aromadendrene ^s | 1460 | 1455 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| γ -Muurolene ^s | 1480 | 1472 | 0.6 | 1.0 | tr. |
| α -Muurolene ^s | 1500 | 1496 | 0.5 | 0.8 | |
| γ -Cadinene ^s | 1514 | 1509 | | 0.5 | |
| δ -Cadinene ^s | 1523 | 1519 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| <i>trans</i> -Calamenene ^s | 1529 | 1528 | 0.7 | 0.1 | |
| (<i>E</i>)- γ -Bisabolene ^s | 1531 | 1532 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| α -Calacorene ^s | 1546 | 1537 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| β -Calacorene ^s | 1566 | 1557 | | 0.1 | |
| Caryophyllene oxide ^s | 1583 | 1579 | 0.1 | tr. | 0.3 |
| Viridiflorol ^s | 1593 | 1591 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.7 |
| <i>n</i> -Hexadecane | 1600 | 1592 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Humulene epoxide II ^s | 1608 | 1602 | | 0.8 | |
| <i>Epi</i> - α -muurolol ^s | 1642 | 1635 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| <i>n</i> -Hexadecanol | 1876 | 1879 | 0.1 | | |
| Dibutyl phthalate | 1990 | 1959 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Ethyl hexadecanoate | 1993 | 1996 | 0.3 | 0.1 | tr. |
| <i>n</i> -Eicosane | 2000 | 2010 | 0.1 | 0.1 | tr. |
| Manool ^d | 2057 | 2050 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 9.9 |
| <i>n</i> -Octadecanol | 2078 | 2110 | tr. | | 0.2 |
| Methyl octadecanoate | 2125 | 2154 | | 2.8 | 0.6 |
| <i>trans</i> -Totarol ^d | 2314 | 2299 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.0 |
| <i>trans</i> -Ferruginol ^d | 2332 | 2317 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| <i>cis</i> -Ferruginol ^d | 2371 | 2340 | 4.4 | | |
| <i>n</i> -Pentacosane | 2500 | 2477 | 0.1 | tr. | 8.3 |

KI – retention index by Kovats

RI – retention index experimental determined (medium value)

tr. – traces

m – monoterpenoids, s – sesquiterpenoids, d – diterpenes

TABLE II. Diameters of the inhibition zones (mm) caused by the action of the extracts of *Salvia officinalis* L.

| Micro-organisms | CFU/0.1 mL of suspension | Flower | Leaf | Stem |
|--|--------------------------|--------|------|------|
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> ATCC 25922 | 30×10^7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Salmonella enteritidis</i> ATCC 13076 | 14×10^7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ATCC 9027 | 19×10^7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 6633 | 75×10^5 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 8.5 |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 6538 | 15×10^7 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 6.6 |
| <i>Sarcina lutea</i> ATCC 9341 | 80×10^6 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 0 |
| <i>Candida albicans</i> ATCC 10231 | 50×10^5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> ATCC 9763 | 85×10^5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Aspergillus niger</i> | 20×10^6 | 9.9 | 11.6 | 0 |

CFU – Number of Colony Forming Units

TABLE III. Values of MIC and MLC ($\mu\text{L/mL}$) of the extracts of *Salvia officinalis* L.

| Micro-organisms | CFU/mL of inoculum | Flower | | Leaf | | Stem | |
|---|--------------------|--------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| | | MIC | MLC | MIC | MLC | MIC | MLC |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> ATCC 25922 | 56×10^6 | 80 | 100 | 60 | 80 | 120 | 120 |
| <i>Salmonella enteritidis</i> ATCC 13076 | 65×10^6 | 50 | 60 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ATCC 9027 | 55×10^6 | 60 | 100 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 6633 | 60×10^6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 6538 | 45×10^6 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 18 |

TABLE III. Continued

| Micro-organisms | CFU/mL of inoculum | Flower | | Leaf | | Stem | |
|--|--------------------|--------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| | | MIC | MLC | MIC | MLC | MIC | MLC |
| <i>Sarcina lutea</i> ATCC 9341 | 70×10 ⁶ | 20 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 50 |
| <i>Candida albicans</i> ATCC 10231 | 80×10 ⁵ | 40 | 60 | 40 | 60 | 40 | 50 |
| <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> ATCC 9763 | 11×10 ⁶ | 160 | – | 180 | – | 180 | – |
| <i>Aspergillus niger</i> | 24×10 ⁶ | 25 | 50 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 70 |

CFU – Number of Colony Forming Units

MIC – minimal inhibitory concentration, MLC – minimal lethal concentration

– there was no lethal activity (200 µL/mL)

of inoculum) of the *S. officinalis* extracts are shown in Table III. The stem extract shows the highest activity to *C. albicans*, followed by the flower and then the leaf extract. Regarding *E. coli*, *S. enteritidis*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. cerevisiae*, a larger quantity of the extract was needed to achieve antimicrobial effects.

The extracts show stronger antibacterial activity than the essential oils of sage from the same locality. However, more extract is required for antifungal activity to be realized.¹⁴

CONCLUSIONS

The examined extracts contain all the specific components defining the chromatograph profile of the essential oil. There is a similarity of the chemical composition with that of essential oils.

The leaf extract showed significantly higher antimicrobial activity compared to the other extracts as determined by the diffusion and dilution methods. The stem extract showed the highest activity to *C. albicans*, followed by the flower and then the leaf extracts (the dilution method is more precise). Regarding *E. coli*, *S. enteritidis*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. cerevisiae*, a larger quantity of the extract is needed to achieve antimicrobial effects.

ИЗВОД

ХЕМИЈСКИ САСТАВ И АНТИМИКРОБНО ДЕЛОВАЊЕ ЕТАНОЛНИХ
ЕКСТРАКТА ДОБИЈЕНИХ ИЗ ЦВЕТА, ЛИСТА И СТАБЉИКЕ *Salvia officinalis* L.ДРАГАН Т. ВЕЛИЧКОВИЋ^{*1}, НОВИЦА В. РАНЂЕЛОВИЋ², МИХАИЛО С. РИСТИЋ³, АНА С.
ВЕЛИЧКОВИЋ⁴ и АНДРИЈА А. ШМЕЛЦЕРОВИЋ⁵¹АД “Здравље” Фармацеутичко-хемијска индустрија, Влајкова 199, 16000 Лесковац, ²Технолошки факултет,
Булевар ослобођења 124, 16000 Лесковац, ³Институт за проучавање лековитих биља “Др. Јосиф Панчић”, Тадеуша
Кочићушка 1, 11000 Београд, ⁴Здравствени центар “Моша Пијаде”, Одељење ошине медицине, Раде Кончара 2,
16000 Лесковац и ⁵Хемијска индустрија “Невена”, Борња Сјаменковића бб, 16000 Лесковац

Испитан је хемијски састав и антимикробно дејство етанолних екстракта цвета, листа и стабљике билне врсте *Salvia officinalis* L., пореклом из југоисточне Србије. Испитивани екстракти садрже све карактеристичне компоненте које одређују хроматографски профил етарског уља *S. officinalis* према Нацрту међународног стандарда ИСО/ДИС 11024, док је компонента са највећим уделом у свим екстрактима маноол (дистерпен) (9,0–11,1 %). Антимикробна активност је одређена дифузионом и дилуционом методом, при чему је друга модификована употребом целулозних дискова. Екстракт листа има нешто већу антимикробну активност од екстракта цвета и стабљике.

(Примљено 19. августа 2002)

REFERENCES

1. I. C. Hedge, in *Advances in Labiate Science* (R. Harley, T. Reynolds Eds.), Roy. Bot. Gard., Kew., UK 1992, p. 85
2. N. Diklić, in *Flora of Serbia VI*, M. Josifović, Ed., SANU, Belgrade 1974, p. 432
3. F. I. Jean, G. J. Collin, D. Lord, *Perfum. Flavor*. **17** (1992) 35
4. R. Länger, Ch. Mechtler, J. Jurenitsch, *Phytochem. Anal.* **7** (1996) 289
5. E. Reverchon, R. Taddeo, G. Della Porta, *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **8** (1995) 302
6. J. B. Hinou, C. E. Harvala, E. B. Hinou, *Pharm.* **44** (1989) 302
7. A. Pauli, K. Knobloch, *Z. Lebensm. Unters. Forsch.* **185** (1987) 10
8. V. N. Dobrynin, M. N. Kolosov, B. K. Chernov, N. A. Derbentseva, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.* (1976) 868
9. *Pharmacopeia Jugoslavica*, 4th ed., Federal Institute for Health Care, Belgrade 1991
10. R. P. Adams, *Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/quadrupole Mass Spectroscopy*, Allured Publ. Corp., Carol Stream, Illinois 2001
11. R. P. Adams, *Identification of Essential Oil Components by GC/MS*, Allured Publ. Corp., Carol Stream, Illinois 1995
12. Anonymous, Draft International Standard ISO/DIS 11024-1.2/2.2, Essential oils - General guidance on chromatographic profiles, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva 1997
13. B. M. Lawrence, *Perfum. Flavor*. **23** (1998) 47
14. D. Veličković, M. Ristić, N. Randjelović, A. Šmelcerović, *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **14** (2002) 453
15. D. Veličković, M. Ristić, A. Veličković, *Lek. Sirov.* **21** (2001) 51.